

## Dark Sectors in FerMINI & Neutrino Experiments: Millicharged Particles

#### Yu-Dai Tsai, Fermilab/U.Chicago

with Magill, Plestid, Maxim Pospelov (1806.03310, PRL '19),

with Kelly (<u>1812.03998</u>, submitted to **PRL**), ...

Email: <a href="mailto:ytsai@fnal.gov">ytsai@fnal.gov</a>; arXiv: <a href="https://arxiv.org/a/tsai\_y\_1.html">https://arxiv.org/a/tsai\_y\_1.html</a>

## New Physics in Neutrino Experiments

- Light Scalar & Dark Photon at Borexino & LSND
   Pospelov & YT, PLB '18, <u>1706.00424</u> (proton charge radius anomaly)
- Dipole Portal Heavy Neutral Lepton

Magill, Plestid, Pospelov & YT, PRD '18, <u>1803.03262</u> (LSND/MiniBooNE anomalies)

• Millicharged Particles in Neutrino Experiments

Magill, Plestid, Pospelov & **YT**, PRL '19, <u>1806.03310</u>

(EDGES 21-cm measurement anomaly)

Inspired by					
deNiverville, Pospelov, <b>Ritz</b> , '11,					
Batell, deNiverville, <b>McKeen</b> , Pospelov, <b>Ritz</b> , '14					
Kahn, Krnjaic, Thaler, Toups, '14 2					

## New Physics in Neutrino Experiments

#### Millicharged Particles in FerMINI Experiments

Kelly & **YT,** <u>1812.03998</u>

(EDGES Anomaly)

Dark Neutrino at Scattering Experiments: CHARM-II & MINERVA!

Argüelles, Hostert, YT, <u>1812.08768</u>, submitted to PRL

(MiniBooNE Anomaly)

Yu-Dai Tsai, Fermilab Two New Papers OUT! Happy to talk about these during the coffee break.

# Outline

- Motivations
- Dark Sectors @ Fixed-Target & Neutrino Experiments
- Millicharged Particle (mCP)
- Bounds & Projections @ Neutrino Detectors
- The FerMINI Experiment
- Discussion

Some natural habitats for signals of weakly interacting / long-lived / hidden particles:

### Neutrino & Fixed-Target (FT) Experiments

### **Exploration of the Dark Sectors**



- Astrophysical/cosmological observations are important to reveal the actual story of dark matter (DM).
- Why Neutrino/FT experiments? And why MeV GeV+?

## Neutrino & Proton Fixed-Target Experiments

- Neutrinos are weakly interacting particles.
- High statistics, e.g. LSND has 10<sup>23</sup> Protons on Target (POT)
- Shielded/underground: lower background
- Many of them existing and many to come:

#### strength in numbers

- Relatively high energy proton beams on targets exist
   O(100 400) GeV
- Produce hidden particles / involve less assumptions

### Not All Bounds Are Created with Equal Assumptions

Assumptions'

Or, how likely is it that theorists would be able to argue our ways around them

Accelerator-based: Collider, Fixed-Target Experiments Some other ground based experiments

Astrophysical productions (not from ambient DM): energy loss/cooling, etc: Rely on modeling/observations of (extreme/complicated/rare) Astro systems

Dark matter direct detection / indirect detection

Cosmology: N<sub>eff</sub>, 21 cm, etc: assume cosmo history

#### Yu-Dai Tsai, Fermilab, 2019

techinical

Zdifferent

## Why study MeV – GeV+ dark sectors?

### Signals of discoveries grow from anomalies Maybe nature is telling us something so we don't have to search in the dark? (systematics?)

### Some anomalies involving MeV-GeV+ Explanations



- Muon g-2
- Proton charge radius anomaly
- LSND & MiniBooNE anomaly
- EDGES result

Below ~ MeV there are also strong astrophysical/cosmological bounds without DM abundance assumption

## New Physics in Neutrino Experiments

- Light Scalar & Dark Photon at Borexino & LSND
   Pospelov & YT, PLB '18, <u>1706.00424</u> (proton charge radius anomaly)
- Dipole Portal Heavy Neutral Lepton

Magill, Plestid, Pospelov & YT, PRD '18, <u>1803.03262</u> (LSND/MiniBooNE anomalies)

• Millicharged Particles in Neutrino Experiments

Magill, Plestid, Pospelov & **YT**, PRL '19, <u>1806.03310</u>

(EDGES 21-cm measurement anomaly)

Inspired by	
deNiverville, Pospelov, <b>Ritz</b> , '11,	
Batell, deNiverville, <b>McKeen</b> , Pospelov,	, <b>Ritz</b> , '14
Kahn, Krnjaic, Thaler, Toups, '14	13

## New Physics in Neutrino Experiments

#### Millicharged Particles in FerMINI Experiments

Kelly & **YT,** <u>1812.03998</u>

(EDGES Anomaly)

Dark Neutrino at Scattering Experiments: CHARM-II & MINERVA!

Argüelles, Hostert, YT, <u>1812.08768</u>, submitted to PRL

(MiniBooNE Anomaly)

Yu-Dai Tsai, Fermilab Two New Papers OUT! Happy to talk about these during the coffee break.

# Millicharged Particles

Is electric charge quantized? Other Implications

# **Finding Minicharge**

- Is electric charge quantized and why? A long-standing question!
- U(1) allows arbitrarily small (any real number) charges. Why don't we see them in e charges? Motivates
   Dirac quantization, Grand Unified Theory (GUT), etc, to explain such quantization (anomaly cancellations fix some SM U(1)<sub>Y</sub> charge assignments)
- Testing if **e/3 is the minimal charge**
- MCP could have natural link to **dark sector** (dark photon, etc)
- Could account for dark matter (DM) (WIMP or Freeze-in scenarios)
- Used for the cooling of gas temperature to explain the EDGES result [EDGES collab., Nature, (2018), Barkana, Nature, (2018)].
   A small fraction of the DM as MCP to explain the EDGES anomaly (severely constrained, see more reference later)

# Millicharged Particle: Models

# mCP Model

• Small charged particles under U(1) hypercharge

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{mCP}} = i\bar{\psi}(\partial \!\!\!/ - i\epsilon' e B \!\!\!/ + M_{\mathrm{mCP}})\psi$$

- Can just consider these Lagrangian terms by themselves (no extra mediator, i.e., dark photon), one can call this a "pure" MCP
- Or this could be from Kinetic Mixing
  - give a nice origin to this term
  - an example that gives rise to dark sectors
  - easily compatible with Grand Unification Theory
  - I will not spend too much time on the model

# Kinetic Mixing and MCP Phase

 Coupled to new B dark fermion

' 
$$M O M B$$
 (SM: Standard Model)

See, Holdom, 1985

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} - \frac{1}{4} B'_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu'} - \frac{\kappa}{2} B'_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + i\bar{\psi}(\partial \!\!\!/ + ie'B' + iM_{\rm mCP})\psi$$

- New Fermion ψ charged under U(1)'
- Field redefinition into a more convenient basis for massless B',  $B' \rightarrow B' + \kappa B$
- new fermion acquires an small EM charge Q (the charge of mCP  $\psi$ ):  $Q = \kappa e' \cos \theta_W \quad \epsilon \equiv \kappa e' \cos \theta_W / e.$

## The Rise of Dark Sector



# **IMPORTANT NOTES**

- Our search is simply a search for particles (**fermion**  $\chi$ ) with {mass, electric charge} = { $m_{\chi}, \epsilon e$ }
- Minimal theoretical inputs/parameters

(hard to probe in MeV – GeV mass regime)

- mCPs do not have to be DM in our searches
- The bounds we derive still put constraints on DM as well as dark sector scenarios.
- Not considering bounds on dark photon

(**not necessary** for mCP particles)

• Similar bound/sensitivity applies to scalar mCPs

# Additional Notes

- Won't get into details, but it's interesting to find
   "pure" MCP, that is WITHOUT a massless dark photon (massless dark photon is subject to strong dark radiation constraints, see Cui's talk)
- More violent violation of the charge quantization (not generating millicharge through kinetic mixing)
- Testing some GUT models, and **String Compactifications** (!!) see Shiu, Soler, Ye, arXiv:1302.5471, PRL '13 for more detail.

Some Reference of MCP DM and constraints. See, e.g.,

McDermott, **Yu**, Zurek, arXiv: 1011.2907; Berlin, **Hooper**, Krnjaic, McDermott, arXiv:1803.02804; Bhoonah, **Bramante**, Elahi, Schon, arXiv: 1806.06857; Kovetz, Poulin, Gluscevic, **Boddy**, Barkana, Kamionkowski, arXiv:1807.11482

# Millicharged Particle: Signature

### **MCP** (or general light DM): production & detection



## **MCP Production/Flux**



- We use PYTHIA to generate neutral meson Dalitz or direct decays from the pp collisions and rescale by considering,  $BR(\mathcal{M} \to \chi \bar{\chi}) \approx \epsilon^2 \times BR(\mathcal{M} \to X e^+ e^-) \times f\left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{M}\right)$ ,
- M: mass of the parent meson, X:additional particles,  $f(m_{\chi}/M)$ : phase space factor
- We also include Drell-Yan production for the high mass MCPs (see <u>arXiv:1812.03998</u>) <sup>25</sup>

### MCP Detection: electron scattering

- Light mediator: the total cross section is dominated by the small  $Q^2$  contribution, we have  $\sigma_{e\chi} = 4\pi \alpha^2 \epsilon^2 / Q_{min}^2$ .
- lab frame:  $Q^2 = 2m_e (E_e m_e)$ ,  $E_e m_e$  is the electron recoil energy.
- Expressed in **recoil energy threshold**,  $E_e^{(min)}$ , we have

$$\sigma_{e\chi} = 2.6 \times 10^{-25} \text{cm}^2 \times \epsilon^2 \times \frac{1 \text{ MeV}}{E_e^{(\text{min})} - m_e}$$

- Sensitivity greatly enhanced by accurately measuring low energy electron recoils for mCP's & light dark matter - electron scattering,
- See e.g., Magill, Plestid, Pospelov, YT, <u>1806.03310</u> & deNiverville, Frugiuele, <u>1807.06501</u> (for sub-GeV DM)



26

# MCP @ Neutrino Detectors

### **MCP** Signals

• signal events sevent

$$s_{\text{event}} \simeq \sum_{\text{Energies}} N_{\chi}(E_i) \times \frac{N_e}{\text{Area}} \times \sigma_{e\chi}(E_i; \ m_{\chi}) \times \mathcal{E}.$$
  
detection efficiency

- $N_{\chi}(E_i)$ : number of mCPs with energy  $E_i$  arriving **at the detector**.
- N<sub>e</sub>: total number of electrons inside the active volume of the detector
- Area: active volume divided by the average length traversed by particles inside the detector.
- $\sigma_{e\chi}(E_i)$ : detection cross section consistent with the angular and recoil cuts in the experiment
- Here,  $s_{event} \propto \varepsilon^4$ .  $\varepsilon^2$  from  $N_x$  and  $\varepsilon^2$  from  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ex}$
- Throughout this paper, we choose a credibility interval of  $1 \alpha = 95\%$  (~ 2 sigma)
- Roughly,  $\varepsilon_{sensitivity} \propto E_{e, R, min}^{1/4} Bg^{1/8}$

### Sensitivity and Contributions



- MilliQan: Haas, Hill, Izaguirre, Yavin, (2015), + (LOT arXiv:1607.04669)
- N<sub>eff</sub>: Bœhm, Dolan, and McCabe (2013)
- Colliders/Accelerator: Davidson, Hannestad, Raffelt (2000) + refs within.
- SLAC mQ: Prinz el al, PRL (1998); Prinz, Thesis (2001).

### **Summary Table**

		$N[\times$	$(10^{20}]$	$A_{\rm geo}(m)$	$\chi)[\times 10^{-3}]$	Cuts	[MeV]	
	Exp. (Beam Energy, POT)	$\pi^0$	$\eta$	$1 { m MeV}$	$100 {\rm ~MeV}$	$E_e^{\min}$	$E_e^{\max}$	Bkg
Existing	LSND (0.8 GeV, $1.7 \times 10^{23}$ )	130		20		18	52	300
	mBooNE (8.9 GeV, $2.4 \times 10^{21}$ )	17	0.56	1.2	0.68	130	530	2k
	mBooNE* (8.9 GeV, $1.9 \times 10^{20}$ )	1.3	0.04	1.2	0.68	75	850	0.4
Future	$\mu \text{BooNE} (8.9 \text{ GeV}, \ 1.3 \times 10^{21})$	9.2	0.31	0.09	0.05	2	40	16
	SBND (8.9 GeV, $6.6 \times 10^{20}$ )	4.6	0.15	4.6	2.6	2	40	230
	DUNE (80 GeV, $3.0 \times 10^{22}$ )	830	16	3.3	5.1	2	40	19k
	SHiP (400 GeV, $2.0 \times 10^{20}$ )	4.7	0.11	130	220	100	300	140

- $\varepsilon \propto E_{e,R,min}^{1/4} Bg^{1/8}$
- cos θ > 0 is imposed (\*except for at MiniBooNE's dark matter run where a cut of cos θ > 0.99 effectively reduces backgrounds to zero [Dharmapalan, MiniBooNE, (2012)]).
- Efficiency of 0.2 for Cherenkov detectors, 0.5 for nuclear emulsion detectors, and 0.8 for liquid argon time projection chambers.

## Recasting Existing Analysis: LSND, MiniBooNE, and MiniBooNE\* (DM Run)

- LSND: hep-ex/0101039. Measurement of electron-neutrino electron elastic scattering
- **MiniBooNE**: arXiv:1805.12028.

Electron-Like Events in the MiniBooNE Short-Baseline Neutrino Experiment, combines data from both neutrino and antineutrino runs and consider a sample of  $2.4 \times 10^{21}$  POT for which we take the single electron background to be  $2.0 \times 10^3$  events and the measured rate to be  $2.4 \times 10^3$ 

MiniBooNE\* (DM run): arXiv:1807.06137 (came out after our v1).
 Electron recoil analysis

We did not include their timing cuts in our calculations, since they were optimized by the MiniBooNE collaboration to the signal's timing profile.

### **Background for Future Measurements**

- Single-electron background for ongoing/future experiments for MicroBooNE, SBND, DUNE, and SHiP?
- Two classes of backgrounds:

1) From neutrino fluxes (calculable),

[i.e.  $ve \rightarrow ve$  and  $vn \rightarrow ep$ ], greatly reduced by

maximum electron recoil energy cuts  $E_e(\max)$ 

2) Other sources such as

beam related: dirt related events, mis-id particles

external: cosmics,

Multiply a factor of the neutrino-caused background to account for these background

## Summary

- Technique can be easily applied to more generic light dark matter and other weakling interacting particles
  - Production from heavy neutral mesons are important (very often neglected in literature)
  - Signature favor low electron-recoil energy threshold
- For more realistic analysis: include realistic background,

#### *E<sub>e, R,min</sub>* cut, etc

• One should consider multi-scattering! (Harnik, Liu, ArgoNeuT)

# Low-cost Fixed-target Probes of Long-Lived Particles FerMINI as an example

# FerMINI:

#### Putting dedicated Minicharge Particle Detector (milliQan-type) @ Fermilab Beamlines: NuMI or LBNF or @ CERN: SPS Kelly, YT, <u>1812.03998</u>

(can also probe other new physics scenarios like small-electric-dipole dark fermions, or quirks, etc)

# MilliQan at CERN

Austin Ball, Jim Brooke, Claudio Campagnari, Albert De Roeck, Brian Francis, Martin Gastal, Frank Golf, Joel Goldstein, Andy Haas, Christopher S. Hill, Eder Izaguirre, Benjamin Kaplan, Gabriel Magill, Bennett Marsh, David Miller, Theo Prins, Harry Shakeshaft, David Stuart, Max Swiatlowski, Itay Yavin

> arXiv:1410.6816, PRD '15 arXiv:1607.04669, Letter of Intent (LOT)

### MilliQan: General Idea

- Require triple incidence in small time window (15 nanoseconds)
- With Q down to 10<sup>-3</sup> e, each MCP produce averagely ~ 1 photo-electron observed per ~ 1 meter long scintillator



- Total: 1 m × 1 m (transverse plane) × 3 m (longitudinal) plastic scintillator array.
- Array oriented such that the long axis points at the CMS Interaction Point (P5).
- The array is subdivided into 3 sections each containing 400 5 cm × 5 cm × 80 cm scintillator bars optically coupled to high-gain photomultiplier (PMT).
- A triple-incidence within a 15 ns time window along longitudinally contiguous bars in each of the 3 sections required to reduce the dark-current noise (the dominant background).

# MilliQan: Design



Figure from 1607.04669 (milliQan LOT)

### MilliQan: Location!

- Placed in CMS "drainage gallery" above the detector
- "Drainage Gallery" an interlocked tunnel above CMS Point 5



Beam backgrounds shielded by 14m of rock



30m from interaction point Small angle from vertical Andrew Haas, Fermilab (2017)

## FerMINI: A Fermilab Search for MINI-charged Particle Kelly, YT, <u>1812.03998</u>

# Site 1: NuMI Beam & MINOS ND Hall



NuMI: Neutrinos at the Main Injector MINOS: Main Injector Neutrino Oscillation Search ND: Near Detector

# FerMINI @ NuMI-MINOS Hall







# Site 2: LBNF Beam & DUNE ND Hall



Jonathan Asaadi - University of Texas Arlington

#### There are many other **new physics opportunities** in the **near detector hall**!

## **Signature: Triple Coincidence**

• The averaged number of photoelectron (PE) seen by the detector from single MCP is:

$$N_{PE} \simeq \rho_{scint} \times \left\langle -\frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle \times l_{scint} \times LY \times e_{det}.$$
  

$$\langle dE/dx \rangle \text{ is the "mass stopping power" (PDG 2018)} \qquad \text{LY: light yield}$$
  

$$\cdot e_{det}: \text{ detection efficiency}$$
  

$$N_{PE} \sim \epsilon^2 \times 10^6 \quad \epsilon \sim 10^{-3} \text{ roughly gives one PE in one meter}$$

 $N_{PE} \sim \epsilon^2 \times 10^6$ ,  $\epsilon \sim 10^{-3}$  roughly gives one PE in one meter scintillation bar

• Based on Poisson distribution, zero event in each bar correspond to  $P_0 = e^{-N_{PE}}$ , so the probability of seeing triple incident of one or more photoelectron is:  $P = (1 - e^{-N_{PE}})^3$ ,

•  $N_{x,detector} = N_x \times P$ .

# **Detector Background**

- We will discuss two major detector
   backgrounds and the reduction technique
- SM charged particles from background radiation (e.g., cosmic muons):
  - Offline veto of events with > 10 PEs
  - Offset middle detector
- Dark current: triple coincidence

## Dark Current Background @ PMT

#### Major Background Source!

- dark-current frequency to be  $v_B$ = **500 Hz** for estimation. (from 1607.04669, milliQan L.O.T.)
- For each tri-PMT set (each connect to the three connected scintillation bar), the background rate for triple incidence is

 $v_B^3 \Delta t^2 = 2.8 \times 10^{-8}$  Hz, for  $\Delta t = 15$  ns.

- There are 400 such set in the nominal design.
- The total background rate is 400 x 2.8 x  $10^{-8} \sim 10^{-5}$  Hz
- ~ **300 events** in one year of trigger-live time

# FerMINI @ MINOS



• Had meeting with **milliQan members**, got their support

Yu-Dai Tsai, Fermilab

Recruting young experimentalists to take charge of the Fermilab
 LDRD proposal/experimental Implementation

# FerMINI @ DUNE



• Scheduled meeting with **DUNE near detector conveners** 

- Try to incorporate it into the near detector proposal
- Experimentalists like it. FerMINI is probably happening

## Beam Related Background (can skip)

- Shielding: including absorber and rocks.
- Controlled: muon monitors.
- Can determine the SM charged particle rate on site
- Vetoed similar to the previous veto of cosmic muons.
- Neutrino produced hard-scattering background: **O(10<sup>-19</sup>)**, negligible.
- To be conservative, we assume the beam related background ≈ dark current background for our sensitivity determination.
- Based on SENSEI experience, beam produced charge background is weaker than cosmic, but of course energy dependence
- Assumed to be at the same level of detector background

### Advantages: Timeliness, Low-cost, Movable, Tested, Easy to Implement, ...

- 1. LHC entering long shutdown
- 2. NuMI operating, shutting down in 5 years (DO IT NOW!)
- 3. Broadening the physics case for fixed-target facilities
- 4. **DUNE near detector design** still underway
- 5. Can develop at NuMI/MINOS and then move to DUNE
- 6. Sensitivity better than milliQan for MCP up to 5 GeV and don't have to wait for HL-LHC
- 7. Synergy between **dark matter**, **neutrino**, and **collider** community

# FerMINI: Alternative Designs & New Ideas

## **Alternatives (Straightforward)**

- Quadruple incidence: further background reduction, sacrifice event rate but potentially gain better control of background, reduce the background naively by 10<sup>-5</sup> Basically zero dark-current background experiment?
- 2. Different lengths for each detectors
- 3. Different materials:

Material	Photons/keV	Density (g/cm³)	* Length needed (cm)	Speed (ns)	Cost for 5x5 cm (\$)	Notes
Plastic BC408	10	1.03	145	~2	~200	Current choice
Nal	38	3.67	11	~230	~800	Slow, fragile
LaBr3(Ce)	63	5.08	5	~16	~3000	Radioactive
Liquid Xe	62	2.95	8	~2 / ~34	~1000?	Cryogenic, ultraviolet

• Andy Haas, Fermilab, 2017

\* Length needed to get 3 photons for charge 1/1000 e

## New Ideas ...

- **Combine with neutrino detector**: behind, in front, or sandwich them
- Combine with **DUNE PRISM**: moving up and down
- FerMINI + DUNE 3-D scintillation detector (3DST)
- Combine with **SPS/SHiP facilities**
- Can potentially probe (electric) dipole portal dark fermion, quirks, etc.
- Detail Proposal: Kelly, Plestid, Pospelov, YT + milliQan

Collaboration (<u>ytsai@fnal.gov</u>)

## Looking Ahead

- Exploring Energy Frontier of the Intensity Frontier (complementary to and before HL-LHC upgrade)
- Near-future (and almost free) opportunity
   (NuMI Facility, SBN program, DUNE Near Detector, etc.)
- Other new low-cost alternatives/proposals (~ \$1M) to probe hidden particles and new forces (FerMINI is just a beginning!)
- Dark sectors in neutrino telescopes
- Many new papers to come!

# Thank You! Thanks for the nice conference!

### **MCP** productions

- For  $\eta \& \pi^0$ , Dalitz decays:  $\pi^0/\eta \to \gamma \chi \bar{\chi}$  dominate
- For  $J/\psi \& Y$ , direct decays:  $J/\psi$ ,  $Y \to \chi \overline{\chi}$  dominate. Important for high-mass mCP productions!
- The branching ratio for a meson, M, to mCPs is given roughly by

$$\mathrm{BR}(\mathcal{M} \to \chi \bar{\chi}) \approx \epsilon^2 \times \mathrm{BR}\left(\mathcal{M} \to X e^+ e^-\right) \times f\left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{M}\right),$$

- M: the mass of the parent meson, X:any additional particles,  $f(m_{\chi}/M)$ : phase space factor as a function of  $m_{\chi}/M$ .
- Also consider **Drell-Yan production of mCP** from **q q-bar annihilation**.



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Drell%E2%80%93Yan\_process

## (detail) Meson Production Details

- At LSND, the  $\pi$ 0 (135 MeV) spectrum is modeled using a Burman-Smith distribution
- Fermilab's Booster Neutrino Beam (BNB): π0 and η (548 MeV) mesons. π0's angular and energy spectra are modeled by the Sanford-Wang distribution. η mesons by the Feynman Scaling hypothesis.
- SHiP/DUNE: pseudoscalar meson production using the **BMPT distribution**, as before, but use a beam energy of 80 GeV
- J/ψ (3.1 GeV), we assume that their energy production spectra are described by the distribution from Gale, Jeon, Kapusta, PLB '99, nucl-th/9812056.
- Upsilon, Y (9.4 GeV): Same dist., normalized by data from HERA-B, I. Abt et al., PLB (2006), hep-ex/0603015.
- Calibrated with existing data [e.g. NA50, EPJ '06, nucl-ex/0612012, Herb et al., PRL '77]. and simulations from other groups [e.g. deNiverville, Chen, Pospelov, and Ritz, Phys. Rev. D95, 035006 (2017), arXiv:1609.01770 [hepph].]

## FerMINI: Increasing scintillation photons

- Elongating the scintillator bar does not affect the background from dark current (basically determined by the number of PMTs)
- So we estimate the sensitivity of FerMINI at DUNE for five times larger scintillation capability
- And estimate the sensitivity of FerMINI at NuMI for five time more scintillation capability but five times less scintillator
   bar-PMT sets (actually reduce dark current background!)

## Detection Limitation: $N_{photon} \leq 1$

- **Define:**  $\epsilon_{low}$  as  $N_{sintilator photon} = 1$
- Roughly around or below this, one really have to worry about scintillator performance
- One can elongate the scintillator or consider alternative materials to help.

Material	Photons/keV	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	* Length needed (cm)	Speed (ns)	Cost for 5x5 cm (\$)	Notes
Plastic BC408	10	1.03	145	~2	~200	Current choice
Nal	38	3.67	11	~230	~800	Slow, fragile
LaBr3(Ce)	63	5.08	5	~16	~3000	Radioactive
Liquid Xe	62	2.95	8	~2 / ~34	~1000?	Cryogenic, ultraviolet

• Andy Haas, Fermilab, 2017

\* Length needed to get 3 photons for charge 1/1000 e

## (Detail) dE/dx formula

 For moderately small epsilon and heavy enough MCP (>> electron mass), one can use Bethe equation to estimate average energy loss.

$$\left\langle -\frac{dE}{dx}\right\rangle = Kz^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 W_{\text{max}}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta\gamma)}{2}\right]$$

- z charge number of incident particle
- Z atomic number of absorber
- A atomic mass of absorber
- $K = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2$ (Coefficient for dE/dx)

Ι

 $0.307\,075 \text{ MeV mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2$ 

- mean excitation energy
  - eV (Nota bene!)

 $g \text{ mol}^{-1}$ 

$$W_{\rm max} = \frac{2m_e c^2 \,\beta^2 \gamma^2}{1 + 2\gamma m_e/M + (m_e/M)^2}$$

- $\delta(\beta\gamma)~$  density effect correction to ionization energy loss
  - M: charged particle mass
  - For very small epsilon (related to the finite length effect), one have to consider most probable energy deposition & consider landau distribution for the energy transfer, see <u>arXiv:1812.03998</u>